

Project Summary Sheet						
Client/Authority				Prepared (date)		
Scarborough Borough Council				Printed 16/08/2004		
Project name				Prepared by EJA		
Church Beck Combined Option 4 Lower				Checked by JF		
Project reference				Checked date		
Base date for estimates (year 0)				AAA12345		
Scaling factor (e.g. £m, £k, £)				May-2002		
Principle land use band				£k (used for all costs, losses and benefits)		
Discount rate				B (A to E)		
				3.0%		
Costs and benefits of options						
	Costs and benefits £k					
	Do Nothing	Option 2 (do minimum)	Option 4 (Q25 Works - L)	Option 4 (Q50 Works -L)	Option 4 (Q100 Works -L)	Option 4 (Q200 Works - L)
PV costs PVc	-	94.36	512.41	626.71	653.57	668.38
PV damage PVd	2,810.58		1,116.20	715.05	369.79	137.04
PV damage avoided			1,694.38	2,095.52	2,440.79	2,673.54
Total PV benefits PVb		-	1,694.38	2,095.52	2,440.79	2,673.54
Net Present Value NPV		- 94.36	1,181.96	1,468.81	1,787.22	2,005.16
Average benefit/cost ratio		-	3.31	3.34	3.73	4.00
Incremental benefit/cost ratio			4.05	3.51	12.86	15.71
			-	-	-	Highest b/c
Brief description of options:						
Do Nothing	Do nothing					
Do Minimum	Do minimum					
Option 4 (Q25 Works - L)	Q25 Works					
Option 4 (Q50 Works -L)	Q50 Works					
Option 4 (Q100 Works -L)	Q100 Works					
Option 4 (Q200 Works - L)	Q200 Works					
Notes:						
1) Benefits will normally be expressed either in terms of damage avoided or asset values protected. Care is needed to avoid double counting						
2) PV damage avoided is calculated as PV damage (No Project) - PV damage (Option) PV asset protection benefits are calculated as PVa (Option) - PVa (No Project) PV benefits calculated as PV damage avoided + PV asset protection benefits						
3) Incremental benefit/cost ratio is calculated as: (PVb(current option) - PVb(previous option))/(PVc(current option) - PVc(previous option))						